| | *E-Filed 8/9/11* | |
|--|---|--|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | |
| NORTHERN I | DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| SAN FR | RANCISCO DIVISION | |
| | | |
| JNITED STATES OF AMERICA, | No. 4:09-CV-05684-RS | |
| Plaintiff, v. | [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING STAY | |
| CITY OF ALAMEDA, et al., | | |
| Defendants. | | |
| BAYKEEPER, INC., d/b/a SAN FRANC BAYKEEPER, Intervenor-Plaintiff. | CISCO | |
| [PROPOSED] | ORDER GRANTING STAY | |
| Whereas, good cause appears: | | |
| Pursuant to Stipulation, IT IS OR | DERED that: | |
| 1. The stay previously granted in | this matter is hereby extended to and including | |
| September 30, 2011, under the | e terms and conditions set forth herein. All litigation | |
| activity shall continue to be st | ayed in United States v. City of Alameda, et al., USDC | |
| N.D. Cal. Case No. CV 09-05 | 684 RS to and including September 30, 2011. | |
| a. No party will file moti | ons or initiate discovery. | |
| √74457611.2 | 1 | |

| 1 | | b. The time for the United States to serve its summons and complaint will be |
|----------------------|-------|--|
| 2 | | tolled while the stay is in effect. |
| 3 | | c. The time for Defendants to respond in any way to Baykeeper's complaint in |
| 4 | | intervention will be tolled while the stay is in effect, and Defendants preserve |
| 5 | | all options and arguments for any response to Baykeeper's complaint in |
| 6 | | intervention. |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | 2. | Since on March 15, 2011 the United States filed an amended complaint in which the |
| 9 | | Water Boards also are named as a plaintiff and assert State law claims, the time for |
| 0 | | Defendants to receive summons and complaint as well as to respond in any way to |
| 1 | | Plaintiffs' complaint remains tolled while the stay is in effect. |
| 2 | 3. | Until a new Scheduling Conference is scheduled sometime after September 30, 2011, |
| 3 | | the parties will not hold their Conference under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) and will not |
| 4 | | make disclosures under Rule 26(a). |
| 5 | 4 | This Stimulation will not be considered a several approximate by the Defendants |
| 6 | 4. | This Stipulation will not be considered a general appearance by the Defendants. |
| 7 | 5. | Baykeeper shall continue to have the right to participate in negotiations with the |
| 8 | | United States, Water Boards, and the Defendants regarding the Stipulated Order for |
| 9 | | Preliminary Relief. During the stay period, Baykeeper shall receive copies of any |
| 0 | | documents or plans due under the current Administrative Orders issued to Defendants |
| 1 | | on or about November 18, 2009, and shall have the opportunity to comment on those |
| 2 | | documents and plans. |
| 3 | 6. | This Stay may be extended by stipulation of the parties, and further order of the |
| 4 | | Court. |
| 5 | | |
| 6 Datas | 8/9 | 9/11 By: 72 1 |
| 7 Date: | | United States District Judge |
| 8 A/744576 | S11.2 | 2 |